UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,007	10/13/2005	Fedja Bobanovic	920602-99281	2701
	7590 12/16/201 IORNBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2786	60600 2786	NGUYEN, THONG Q		
CHICAGO, IL	00090-2780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent-ch@btlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,007	BOBANOVIC ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thong Nguyen	2872		

		Thong Nguyen	2012	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FIL	ED <u>10 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
application application	vas filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on , applicant must timely file one of the following in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeted Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	riod for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
no ever Examin MONTH	riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ler Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is t under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) abo	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the date for purposes of determining the period of extraction (1) the expiration date of the size, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). PEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of A	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp otice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS				
	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
· · · =	r raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	L below),	
(c) They	v are not deemed to place the application in betteral; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) 🔲 They	present additional claims without canceling a core. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amen	dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant'	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>		
non-allowa	posed or amended claim(s) would be all ble claim(s).			_
how the ne The status	ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [www or amended claims would be rejected is proven of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) of	llowed: <u>None</u> . pjected to: <u>None</u> .			
	ejected: <u>32-34,48 and 49</u> . ithdrawn from consideration: <u>35-47 and 50-62</u> .			
` '	OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidav because a	vit or other evidence filed after a final action, bur pplicant failed to provide a showing of good and urlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered be	rit or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛 The reque	est for reconsideration has been considered but it is shown in the state of the sta	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the	attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.	·			
		/Thong Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, A rt U	nit 2872	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the device as claimed is not patentable with repsect to the applied art. Applicant's arguments as provided in the amendment of 12/10/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is respectfully invited to compare the device with features as claimed with the combined product provided by the applied art. Applicant should note that the structure of the device as claimed is examined, not the device described in the specification. It is noted that a) some features such as "the state...very precisely synchronized manner" (page 9, lines 8-10 and lines 26-29), used to argue the rejection is not in the claim; b) regarding to applicant's arguments that the control 140 does not control light source 22, see page 9, lines 24-25; however, the module 140 controls the light source 98 which is also provided excitation light. Applicant should note the claims do not provide any specific limitations for the so-called "excitation" light; c) the art of King is used in the rejection to show that a computer is used to control a plurality of operatable components.